

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,516	01/27/2004	Christopher Todd Cross	CRO.02	2221	
25871	7590 08/01/2005		EXAMINER		
SWANSON & BRATSCHUN L.L.C. 1745 SHEA CENTER DRIVE SUITE 330 HIGHLANDS RANCH, CO 80129			RAMIREZ,	RAMIREZ, RAMON O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 3632		
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/766,516	CROSS, CHRISTOPHER TODD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RAMON O. RAMIREZ	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	anuary 2004.					
,	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date One of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Art Unit: 3632

Detailed Action

This is the first Office Action corresponding to original filing. The application contains 20 claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. No proper antecedent is found in line 2 for "the same fluid circuit". Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 11, 14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yang (Pat No 4,828,241).

The patent to Yang discloses a clamping system comprising material clamp base (1), means for applying pressure (2), pressure foot (at end of rod 2); the material clamp base defining a channel and having hinge means (see Fig 17).

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8, 10, 13, 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang. To substitute a generally rectangular bar for Yang's material clamp base is considered as an obvious matter of engineering choice obvious to those skilled in the art. As to the use of pneumatic clamps, those are old in the art as per Applicant's admittance, and their use is then considered an obvious matter of expediency.

Claims 4, 9, 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang in view of Harrison (Pat No 6,296,241).

The patent to Harrison discloses the use of clamps similar to the one recited here. To substitute Harrison's clamps for Yang's is considered to be an obvious matter of engineering, or expediency with no patentable significance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3632

As understood claim 3 refers to the species illustrated in Figs 3 and 4, which shows the clamp capable of moving relative to the length of the clamp base.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Balcar (2,322,107), Smith (5,586,378),

Khachatoorian (6,098,973) and Yates (6,726,193) show clamp devices of interest, similar to the one of the instant invention. Chandra et al. (4,553,872) and Chestnut (5,573,228)

show clamping system of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON O. RAMIREZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-6821. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY, IST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor ROBERT OLSZEWSKI, can be reached on (571) 272-6788.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A shortened period for response to this Office Action expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

ROR July 27, 2005 RAMON O. RAMIREŻ

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632